

**ASO AC Teleconference  
Wednesday, 1 April 2026  
12:00 PM UTC  
Minutes**

<b>Attendees</b>	<b>Observers</b>	<b>Apologies</b>
<p><b>AFRINIC</b> Saul Stein (Saul S.)</p> <p><b>APNIC</b> Nicole Chan (Nicole C.) Devesh Tyagi (Devesh T.) Maemura Akinori (Akinori M.)</p> <p><b>ARIN</b> Alyssa Quinn (Alyssa Q.) Amy Potter (Amy P.) – Vice Chair Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.)</p> <p><b>LACNIC</b> Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.) – Vice Chair Jorge Villa (Jorge V.) Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.)</p> <p><b>RIPE NCC</b> Andrei Robachevsky (Andrei R.) Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) – Chair</p> <p><b>Secretariat</b> Germán Valdez (Germán V.) Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.) – Minutes</p>	<p><b>AFRINIC</b> Madhvi Gokool (Madhvi G.)</p> <p><b>APNIC</b> Bhadrika Panchal (Bhadrika P.) Jeremy Harrison (Jeremy H.)</p> <p><b>ARIN</b> Nancy Carter (Nancy C.) John Sweeting (John S.) Hollis Kara (Hollis K.) Michael Abejuela (Michael A.)</p> <p><b>LACNIC</b> María Gayo (María G.)</p> <p><b>RIPE NCC</b> Athina Fragkouli (Athina F.) Angela Dall’Ara (Angella D.)</p> <p><b>ICANN Org</b> Andrew McConachie (Andrew M.) Ozan Sahin (Ozan S.) Carlos Reyes (Carlos R.)</p> <p><b>Community</b> Deborah Swati Lall Julien Massé Chris Quesada</p>	<p><b>RIPE NCC</b> Constanze Buerger (Constanze B.)</p>

**New and Updated Action Items**

====

**Agenda**

1. Welcome
2. Roll Call
3. Agenda Review
4. Approval Minutes March 2026
5. Review Open Actions
6. RIR Governance Document Update
7. ICANN 86 Update
8. Update/Reports

- a) APNIC 61
- b) CCG RoR
- 9. AOB
- 10. Closed Session
- 11. Adjourn

=====

## **Minutes**

### **1. Welcome**

Hervé C. opened the meeting and welcomed everyone at 12:00 UTC.

### **2. Roll Call**

Roll call was taken and quorum was established.

### **3. Agenda Review**

The agenda was shared on screen and approved after Amy P. requested to add to discuss about slides for the upcoming ARIN Meeting. Akinori M. also requested an update on the CCG Review of Reviews, to be discussed under the reports section.

### **4. Approval Minutes March 2026**

Herve C. mentioned there were small changes suggested for March 2026. Esteban L. moved to approve the Minutes of the March 2026 Teleconference after suggested changes, Akinori M. seconded, there being no opposition the motion carried.

### **5. Review Open Actions**

New Action Item 260311-1: German V. to report to the NRO EC that the ASO AC is maintaining the plan to meet in Seville so they can facilitate the necessary travel and accommodation support.

**CLOSED**

**Action Item 240605-3:** Hervé C. to prepare a new monthly report on the ICP-2 review and send it to the ASO AC for feedback. Hervé will then send the final version of this report to the EC prior to the next NRO EC meeting. **ONGOING**

### **6. RIR Governance Document Update**

Hervé C. reported that he and Esteban L. had attended the NRO EC meeting the previous day and had discussed the post-Mumbai status of the RIR Governance Document, including the timeline for 2026 and options for completing the process.

He explained that there had been a useful discussion with the NRO EC, but no final answer yet on the revised completion path. He said the NRO EC, with support from Jeremy H., would further review the timeline and next steps.

Jeremy H. said that one of the main questions emerging from ICANN meeting in Mumbai was who would formally carry responsibility for the document at different stages of the process. He noted that legal support was helping to work through the implications and timeline.

Herve C. added that the legal team would work on a revised timeline and that an updated view was expected soon.

Esteban L. underlined that the ASO AC and NRO EC were aligned on the overall approach. He stressed that work was continuing on the document and that the key objective was to move toward completion of the updated governance document by the end of April if possible. He added that the drafting group was continuing to work on version 3 of the document, based on the discussions and feedback gathered in Mumbai.

Hervé C. reported that the discussions in Mumbai had included engagement with several ICANN community groups and stakeholders, and that a number of questions had been received that still required careful response.

Bhadrika P. provided an update on the status reporting work and said that a Q2 status document was being prepared and should be available shortly. She noted that it would be useful to have that document ready, particularly in relation to upcoming presentations.

Hervé C. thanked Bhadrika P. for the report and for the support she had provided in Mumbai.

Esteban L. said that the next week should provide a clearer view of the next steps and that, if necessary, a special meeting of the drafting group or relevant participants could be arranged.

Hervé C. encouraged early scheduling of any such meetings so members could plan accordingly.

## **7. ICANN 86 Update**

Hervé C. introduced the discussion on ICANN86 in Seville and stressed that it would be an important meeting, particularly for work on the RIR Governance Document. He said members should plan to be on site by Sunday in order to begin work promptly by Monday.

Ozan Sahin outlined the current ICANN86 schedule from <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1RNRCKqT9Fq1j6jWiEy1bT6bv3aXZfVlbeZI5wIKsOzU/edit?gid=0-gid=0>

He noted that:

- ICANN86 would take place in Seville from 8 to 11 June 2026;
- Prep Week webinars would be held on 20–21 May 2026;
- the ASO AC Prep Week webinar was scheduled for 20 May at 16:30 UTC;
- the draft meeting schedule had been prepared based on discussions held at ICANN85 in Mumbai and subsequent meeting notes.

Ozan S. also noted that Sunday would include leadership meetings for the SO/AC chairs and that the available blocks from Monday through Thursday had been used to build the ASO AC schedule.

Esteban L. said the proposed planning looked good and emphasized that the priority in Seville should be the work needed to advance and complete the governance document.

Hervé C. agreed and indicated that this would be one of the main priorities for the meeting.

During discussion of session format, Kevin B. raised concerns about how some sessions were labelled as “closed” and suggested that “private session” might be a more accurate term in some cases. He argued that the group needed appropriate space to work through draft text and complex issues.

Jorge V. agreed that the group’s primary goal was to finish the document and said that this required substantial working time. He noted that the ASO AC had held both open and non-public working sessions, and that private working sessions were sometimes necessary to progress the drafting work.

Hervé C. indicated that the exact balance of open and closed/private sessions would be discussed further in closed session.

## **8. Update/Reports**

### **a) APNIC 61**

Nicole Chan presented a report on APNIC 61. She noted that:

- the meeting included discussions on IPv6, routing security, and broader operational and policy issues;
- the ASO AC delivered reports, including one on the RIR Governance Document;
- a session addressed continuity issues relevant to the governance document work;
- two policy proposals were discussed but did not reach consensus;
- APNIC membership growth had slowed, though total membership remained substantial;
- members approved bylaw changes, including one extending Executive Council terms and introducing term limits.

Akinori M. added that the time available for the ICP-2 / governance document update during the global report session had been limited, so the update had necessarily been brief. He emphasized that the implementation of ICP-2 could significantly affect the APNIC and NIR environment and said this had been an important point in related discussions.

Devesh T. added that the CEO-level discussions in Jakarta had been productive and that a number of points agreed there would be taken forward.

Hervé C. thanked Nicole., Akinori M., and Devesh T. for their participation and report.

### **b) CCG RoR**

Akinori M. gave an update on the CCG Review of Reviews.

He explained that the work had entered Phase 3, focused on finalizing a proposal based on the draft presented at ICANN85. He said the work had been divided into three subgroups covering:

- ATRT-related issues,
- structural review,
- on-demand review.

Akinori M. reported that he had volunteered for the structural review subgroup because it was the area most relevant to the ASO. He added that the subgroup work was underway and that he would continue keeping the ASO AC informed as the proposal developed and consultation progressed.

## **9. AOB**

### **ARIN Meeting Slides**

Amy P. raised the upcoming ARIN meeting and asked what the current plan was for the presentation slides.

Hervé C. asked for confirmation of the timing of the ARIN meeting.

The discussion concluded that the Mumbai slides would be used as the base for the ARIN presentation.

Bhadrika P. indicated that, if the Q2 status update was ready in time, it could be reflected in one or two additional slides.

Hollis K. confirmed that Amy P. was scheduled to present on 20 April.

### **AFRINIC / AIS 2026**

Near the end of the open session, Madhvi G. noted that the Africa Internet Summit / AFRINIC meeting was expected to take place during the week of 22–26 June 2026 in Nairobi Kenya, although the public announcement had not yet been made.

Hervé C. welcomed this as positive news and said that early notice was helpful.

## **10. Closed Session**

Hervé C. thanked participants and noted that the meeting would move into closed session for further internal discussion.

## **11. Adjourn**

There being no further business to discuss, Esteban L. moved to adjourn the meeting and Nicole C. seconded the motion. There being no objections, the meeting was adjourned at 13:07 UTC.