# ASO AC Teleconference Wednesday, 1 October 2025 12:00 PM UTC Minutes

Attendees	Observers	Apologies
APNIC	ARIN	APNIC
Nicole Chan (Nicole C.)	Micheal Abejuela	Maemura Akinori (Akinori M.)
Di Ma (Di M.)	John Sweeting	
	Hollis Kara (Hollis K.)	RIPE NCC
ARIN		Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) –
Nick Nugent (Nick N.) – Vice	LACNIC	Chair
Chair	María Gayo (Maria G.)	Andrei Robachevsky (Andrei
Amy Potter (Amy P.)		R.)
Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.)	RIPE NCC	
	Athina Fragkouli	
LACNIC	Angela Dall'Ara	
Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.)	Ulka Atale (Ulka A.)	
Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.) –		
Vice Chair	ICANN Org	
Jorge Villa (Jorge V.)	Ozan Sahin (Ozan S.)	
	Andrew McConachie	
RIPE NCC		
Constanze Buerger (Constanze	Community	
B.)	Mirjam Kuehne (RIPE	
	Community)	
Secretariat	Irene Chou	
Germán Valdez (Germán V.)	Iunn Him-Tsu	
Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.)		
– Minutes		

## New and updated action items from this meeting:

**Action Item 251001-1:** The Secretariat to send an email to the group with the schedule of ICANN and RIR meetings planned for the first half of 2026 so that the ASO AC can decide the location/date of their 2026 f2f meeting.

**Action Item 251001-2:** Each member of the ASO AC to read through the policy proposals at APNIC and RIPE NCC on Revocation of Persistently Non-functional Delegated RPKI CAs, as well as any other materials we receive regarding other related proposals.

===========

## Agenda

- 0. Welcome
- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Agenda Review

- 3. Review Open Actions
- 4. Approval Minutes September 2025
- 5. ICP-2
  - a) Process Update CCG Report
  - b) Montevideo f2f Meeting Preparation
- 6. ASO AC Activities during ICANN 84
- 7. 2026 ASO AC f2f Meeting
- 8. AOB
- 9. Closed Session (if required)
- 10. Adjourn

=========

#### **Minutes**

#### 0. Welcome

Because Hervé C. was unable to be present during the call, the meeting was led by Nick N., who welcomed everyone at 12:02 UTC.

### 1. Roll Call

Roll call was taken, and quorum was established.

### 2. Agenda Review

The draft agenda was displayed on screen and accepted as written. It was agreed that no closed session would be needed.

### 3. Review Open Actions

**Action Item 240605-3:** Hervé C. to prepare a new monthly report on the ICP-2 review and send it to the NRO AC for feedback. Hervé will then send the final version of this report to the EC prior to the next NRO EC meeting. **OPEN** (This is a monthly action which will remain open until the work on ICP-2 concludes.)

The action item will remain open.

## 4. Approval Minutes September 2025

Constance B. called a motion to approve the minutes of the 6 September 2025 ASO AC teleconference as written, Esteban L. seconded the motion, no objections or abstentions were heard so the motion carried.

## 5. ICP-2

## a) Process Update - CCG Report

Ulka A. noted that each of her colleagues on the CCG would provide a summary of the discussions that have taken place in each region.

Hollis K. said that things had been very quiet in the ARIN region. A webinar was held on 7 September where only one question had been asked and was answered by Nick N. She noted that some editorial suggestions had also been received from a community member overall, in approval, but the NRO NC might want to review and maybe consider these as they look at the rest of the feedback.

Maria G. observed that LACNIC had also had their first webinar on the 15<sup>th</sup>, were there had been 3 or 4 questions from the community. The LACNIC mailing list is also quiet, although some questions have been received.

Ulka A. then presented a brief update on behalf of AFRINIC: they have not had their webinar yet as the AFRINIC team were waiting to conclude the elections. The Africa Internet Summit is taking place this week and will include a presentation today by HPH on behalf of the NRO EC; also, Hervé C. Andrei R. Akinori M. will be presenting tomorrow. There will be a webinar in a few weeks.

Because Bhadrika P. had been unable to join today's meeting, Ulka A summarized a brief update about APNIC on Bhadrika's behalf: They held a webinar, they received some comments expressing support for the document, encouraging community feedback, and welcoming the inclusion of values like transparency and impartiality.

Ulka A., then shared a brief update about the RIPE NCC: There was an online session on 17 September, and some comments were received.

All then shared examples of the comments that were received, after which Hollis K. reminded everyone that there is a tracking document where the CCG is compiling all this feedback as it comes in, including the comments received by ICANN. Also, on the NRO website the CCG is posting all engagement activities (<a href="https://www.nro.net/policy/internet-coordination-policy-2/community-engagement/">https://www.nro.net/policy/internet-coordination-policy-2/community-engagement/</a>) in case anyone wishes to watch / hear the comments directly instead of looking at the summary prepared by the CCG.

Ulka A. pointed out that the LACNIC, RIPE, and ARIN meetings are still to take place, so we should expect some more comments.

After some discussion, it was decided that the CCG would work on drafting some reminders about the closing of the comments period but that where to send them would be at the discretion of each RIR.

At Nick N.'s request, Andrew M. shared that two comments have been received by ICANN. He also explained that public comment had been published, that the supporting documents (redline and justification) (https://www.icann.org/en/public-comment/proceeding/second-draft-of-the-rir-governance-document-28-08-2025).

### b) Montevideo f2f Meeting Preparation

Laureana P. shared a brief summary of the logistics of the ASO AC meeting in Montevideo: She confirmed that the meeting will take place from 12 to 14 November with three full days of working sessions at LACNIC's office, that remote participation will be provided, and that support for participants who require a visa to enter Uruguay is ongoing, so if anyone else needs any help with processing visas or any type of support for their trip they should let her know. She also reminded anyone who has not yet made their hotel reservation to contact her to arrange their stay in Montevideo. Finally, she confirmed that the ASO AC dinner will take place on Friday, 14 November.

Kevin B. noted that he will not be attending in person, but where possible he will participate remotely.

Nick N. and other AC members thanked the Secretariat for their help with the visa process, the hotel reservations, and pretty everything else involved in preparing for the Montevideo meeting.

### 6. ASO AC Activities during ICANN 84

Nick N. shared that he, Hervé C. and Esteban L will be attending in representation of the ASO AC and that they are still working on what the agenda will be, noting that Ozan S. has been very helpful in this and sent a document with the events that we know or think that we'll be participating in.

Ozan S. then shared the announcement for the ICANN84 schedule (<a href="https://www.icann.org/en/announcements/details/icann84-schedule-now-available-29-09-2025-en">https://www.icann.org/en/announcements/details/icann84-schedule-now-available-29-09-2025-en</a>) as well as a link to the ASO at ICANN84 ASO schedule.

Ulka A noted that the ASO ISPCP session is scheduled at the same time as the At-Large plenary discussion.

Ozan S. replied that it's unlikely that the schedule will change, so it might be best if the ASO AC could name a presenter for ISPCP. He then asked whether any member of the ASO AC was interested in participating in the panel for the At-Large plenary.

Nick N. observed that the AC needs to coordinate with Hervé C. whether he wants to do this. If not, and if there's a need, then he or Esteban L. can do it.

German V. added that he would be doing the presentation at the "How It Works" session, and Ulka A. added that she will be there to support as needed.

### 7. 2026 ASO AC f2f Meeting

Nick N. shared that he, Hervé C, and Esteban L. had briefly discussed this topic during the chairs prep call and believe that Mumbai would be too soon after our meeting in Montevideo and that perhaps the second or third ICANN meetings of the year make more sense. Also, it might be too premature to decide now.

Esteban L. suggested considering some of the RIR meetings during the first half of the year. We also have the constraint that we need to approve this no later than mid-November so that Germán V. can include it in 2025 NRO budget.

Germán V. agreed and explained that, for planning and budgetary reasons it would be ideal if the AC could reach a decision of where and when they will have their f2f meeting next year by the end of the ASO AC meeting in Montevideo.

Kevin B. observed that it's important to remember what the first meeting is about: it's about onboarding new members and planning the year ahead, so meeting in June would defeat the purpose. The work in Montevideo is specific to ICP-2, not the general operation of the ASO AC. Hopefully next year we will have the members from AFRINIC. Where the f2f happens doesn't matter, but the onboarding and what is in our work plan requires a meeting in the first quarter of the year. Pushing the ASO AC f2f meeting out to later in the year is great if we're doing specific work, but not for the original purpose of our f2f meeting.

Nick N. replied that what Kevin B. said makes sense, so it sounds like earlier in the year is the right move, whether at ICANN or an RIR meeting.

Constance B. also agreed re the work plan: meeting during the first part of the year is important. Where is also not important to her.

Esteban L. suggested identifying other possible locations (e.g. the first APNIC meeting of the year, ARIN, etc.) and explore the best location considering expenses, travel facilities and time of the year (provided it's in the first part of the year).

Nick N. observed that ICANN meetings are very busy, so it's harder to do a lot of core work, but that might not be an issue next year (no more long working sessions). If the point is to use it as an onboarding session, ICANN might make more sense. If we don't have a body of work that we need to meet for, ICANN might be the best option. Of course this doesn't consider the cost.

After some further discussion the following action item was decided:

**Action Item 251001-1:** The Secretariat to send an email to the group with the schedule of ICANN and RIR meetings planned for the first half of 2026 so that the ASO AC can decide the location/date of their 2026 f2f meeting.

### APNIC 60 Update:

Nicole C. presented a brief update on APNIC 60:

- APNIC 60 was held on 9 to 12 September in Da Nang, Vietnam, with an attendance of over 400 members of the Asia-Pacific and Global Internet community.
- There was a special session titled ICP-2 Review RIR Governance Document Consultation moderated by Melody Bendindang with the participation of Akinori M, Di M, and Nicole C, where some comments were received.
- Devesh Tyagi was elected to the NRO NC for a two-year term.
- The APNIC EC reappointed Akinori M. to the ASO AC.

Di M. shared the link to the official APNIC 60 report.

He then added that a policy proposal on Revocation of Persistently Non-functional RPKI Certification Authorities had been discussed at the Policy SIG. He expects this could be a global policy. Although he was not reelected to the ASO AC, he is still part of this community. If in the coming future the ASO AC needs his help in terms of the RPKI policy development, he can help at any time.

Nicole C. thanked Di M. for his work during his term on the AC, and all joined in the appreciation of Di Ma.

Nick N. asked Di M. to send an email to the mailing list about this topic, which Di M. agreed to do.

Angela D. observed that the same proposal is under discussion in the RIPE community and that she expects we will see more of this policy proposal in other RIRs (https://www.ripe.net/community/policies/proposals/2025-02/).

At Nick N's request, the following action item was decided:

**Action Item 251001-2:** Each member of the ASO AC to read through the policy proposals at APNIC and RIPE NCC on Revocation of Persistently Non-functional Delegated RPKI CAs, as well as any other materials we receive regarding other related proposals.

#### 8. AOB

Nick N. shared that he has decided to withdraw his nomination for reelection to the AC, so he too will be rotating off this group at the end of the year (for personal and professional reasons). Even if it's not finished, ICP-2 will be at the finish line at the end of the year. He will still be in Montevideo and see everyone there.

Esteban L. thanked Nick N. for his excellent work, let's continue working until the end of the year. He added that his decision is completely understandable, as the ASO AC takes a lot of work and effort.

All joined in thanking NN for his work during his time on the ASO AC.

## 9. Closed Session (if required)

-

## 10. Adjourn

There being no further business to discuss, Esteban L. moved to adjourn the meeting and Constance B. seconded the motion. There being no objections, the meeting was adjourned at 13:02 UTC.