

ASO AC Teleconference
Wednesday, 6 August 2025
12:00 PM UTC
Minutes

Attendees	Observers	Apologies
APNIC Nicole Chan (Nicole C.) Maemura Akinori (Akinori M.) Di Ma (Di M.) ARIN Nick Nugent (Nick N.) – Vice Chair Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.) Amy Potter (Amy P.) LACNIC Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.) Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.) – Vice Chair Jorge Villa (Jorge V.) RIPE NCC Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) – Chair Constanze Buerger (Constanze B.) Andrei Robachevsky (Andrei R.) Secretariat Germán Valdez (Germán V.) Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.) – Minutes	AFRINIC Madhvi Gokool APNIC Bhadrika Panchal Jeremy Harrison ARIN Ashley Perks Micheal Abejuela LACNIC Eduardo Jimenez Miguel Ignacio Estrada María Gayo RIPE NCC Ulka Athale (Ulka A.) ICANN Org Ozan Sahin (Ozan S.) Andrew McConachie ICANN Board Alan Barrett Community Mirjam Kuehne (RIPE Community)	

New and updated action items from this meeting:

Action Item 250806-1: Laureana P. to share information on hotels in Montevideo. Also, to obtain any invitation letters that may be needed.

=====

Agenda

0. Welcome
1. Roll Call
2. Agenda Review
3. Review Open Actions

4. Approval Minutes 2 July 2025 Minutes
 5. ICP-2 Update.
 - a) RIR Governance Document v2
 - b) Timeline Check
 6. ASO AC f2f Meeting End of Year
 7. AIS and ICANN 84 Representation
 8. ICANN 84 Meeting Update
 9. AOB
 10. Closed Session
 11. Adjourn
- =====

Minutes

0. Welcome

Hervé C. welcomed everyone, and the meeting began at 12:00 UTC.

1. Roll Call

Roll call was taken and quorum was established.

2. Agenda Review

The draft agenda was displayed on screen and Andrei R. suggested adding “Increased number of phishing and spam emails to the AC-discuss list” under AOB.

3. Review Open Actions

Action Item 250702-1: The Secretariat to set up a call for Tuesday 8 July so that Nick N., Esteban L, Hervé C., and Germán V. can discuss the organization of the next ASO AC f2 face meeting. **CLOSED**

Action Item 250702-2: The Secretariat to put together and share a table including the names of the ASO AC members who will be attending each meeting to support the upcoming ICP-2 consultation process (RIR meetings, ICANN, AIS). **CLOSED**

The NRO EC has approved attendance of a delegation of ASO AC representatives. These will be as follows: Hervé C, Andrei R, Akinori M will be traveling to AIS in Ghana; Hervé C, Esteban L, and Nick N. will be attending ICANN 84 in Dublin. Nicole C. and Akinori M. will also be present in Dublin for ICANN 84 to support the NRO EC sponsored delegation.

Ulka A. shared that for each RIR consultation the CCG is planning webinars as well as in person meetings. While the CCG will reach out to our respective representatives to plan those webinars or online sessions, please also keep in mind that ASO AC members will need to support the AFRINIC webinar.

Action Item 240605-3: Hervé C. to prepare a new monthly report on the ICP-2 review and send it to the NRO AC for feedback. Hervé will then send the final version of this report to the EC prior to the next NRO EC meeting. **OPEN** (This is a monthly action which will remain open until the work on ICP-2 concludes.)

Hervé C. shared that he had submitted to the NRO EC the draft of what is currently called the Draft Regional Internet Registry Governance Document for their review.

4. Approval Minutes 2 July 2025 Minutes

Esteban L. called the motion to approve the ASO AC 2 July 2025 Minutes as written with the edits suggested via email by Hervé C, Akinori M. seconded the motion, no opposition or abstentions were heard so the motion carried.

5. ICP-2 Update

a) RIR Governance Document v2

Hervé C. explained that it had been necessary to review and analyze the outputs from the different consultations held in April and May, and that a sub-team had been formed to review the report prepared by the CCG (Andrei R, Akinori M, and Hervé C). The ASO AC thanked the CCG for their impressive work in gathering the inputs and drafting the report. He then gave the floor to Andrei R. to share the work of the sub-group.

Andrei R. shared that their review of the report was teamwork, as the heavy lifting was done by the CCG, who he thanked. The sub-group looked at the report and found it to be in good shape. He hopes the other members of the AC had the opportunity to look at it too. It is comprehensive and gives a sense of what kind of feedback was received, including some examples.

Hervé C. agreed that the report was authentic and complete and gave the floor to Nick N., who is part of the drafting team.

Nick N. noted that the drafting had also been a team effort and thanked the members of the AC for how quickly they had turned around multiple rounds of comments on the document. A comment: the document is getting longer, and we must be sensitive to the risk of over engineering the document and putting in too many procedures. It uses a lot of legal language and formulations, as those are the most precise and leave the least room for ambiguity and debate. We've come a long way with the document, and when we present it to the community again both in clean and red line form, it's going to be very obvious to the community that they played a major role in shaping the document. The feedback of the community has helped improve the document significantly.

Nick N. then suggested getting started with another work stream to draft a summary document of the changes made and the reasons why they were made, something we have committed to providing.

Esteban L. congratulated Nick N. and thanked him for the excellent and impressive work done in drafting the document. This was seconded by Hervé C. and Andrei R.

Andrei R. agreed that it was a good idea to have this third work stream to acknowledge the changes and the rationale behind them, with the support of the CCG. For example, the response Nick N. provided to the internal comments he received from other AC members, where he outlined every response and provided justification (the reason for this response, how we want to address this in the next version of the document, why the drafting team believes the document shouldn't be changed, etc.). We can offer a similar response to

the community, explaining why some of the points that were brought up didn't make it into the document. He mentioned that he is happy to help the sub-team working on this, whether it is the drafting team or a different sub-group.

Nick N. agreed that we need not only to say the changes we made but also explain the changes we didn't make. Also, that we should start the process of creating this document now, even though the EC is reviewing the document after.

After some further discussion, it was decided to take the discussion about who would work on this document to the mailing list.

Hervé C. volunteered to help.

Ulka A. reiterated the CCG's willingness to help this team.

b) Timeline Check

It was noted that the AC is still fully on track and on course to meet the date of the next public consultation (publication date: 25 August). After some discussion, it was agreed that the closing date for the consultations will be 7 November.

Andrei R. suggested adding to the timeline that the v2 document will be published along with the response to comments document.

Because the reports from the consultation on v2 of the RIR Governance Document will be important for the ASO AC to work with in Montevideo (12-14 November), Ulka A. and Andrew M. said they would have something ready for the ASO AC to work with in Montevideo, even if it is not the final report. Because of how tight the timeline is, the ASO AC will have to do a preliminary reading and digestion of the comments published on the ICANN website on their own.

6. ASO AC f2f Meeting End of Year

Hervé C. noted that Montevideo will be the place for the f2f meeting on 12-14 November and thanked LACNIC for their offer to welcome the AC. Everybody should start preparations to travel to Montevideo.

Esteban L. observed that the LACNIC staff is ready to support anyone who needs help with their visa.

It was agreed that everyone should be in Montevideo on the 11th and leave on the 15th of November.

Action Item 250806-1: Laureana P. to share information on hotels in Montevideo. Also, to obtain any invitation letters that may be needed.

7. AIS and ICANN 84 Representation

Already discussed under agenda item 3.

For AIS, the idea is to present something similar to what will be presented in the other regions. For ICANN 84, there is a request to meet with the GAC and to have something on Sunday afternoon.

8. ICANN 84 Meeting Update

Ozan S. shared that registration for ICANN 84 is now open and invited all ASO AC members to register, noting that the meeting will be hybrid and public sessions will be streamed online. Also, a spot has been reserved during Prep Week for a webinar by the ASO AC on the ICP-2 review process and the RIR Governance Document. Ozan S. needs confirmation of the title of this webinar.

Ozan S. then went over the potential agenda for the ASO AC during ICANN 84 (high interest sessions, joint session with the GAC, joint ICANN Board ASO meeting, other potential sessions and joint meetings). He and Hervé C. are reaching out to other groups to see whether they would be interested in further engaging with the ASO during ICANN 84.

Hervé C. thanked Ozan S. for his update.

9. AOB

Hervé C. proposed discussing the topic suggested by Andrei R.

Andrei R. said that there was a shift in spam ration on the mailing list. While they are mostly annoying, they will be archived. He wondered if the Secretariat can take a look at this.

Kevin B. replied that the issue has been brought up several times over the years and the problem has to do with the use of very old mailing list technology. There are ways of fixing it, but many of these would build in delays. He added that he would not like to implement other features that would potentially delay the system and suggested taking this discussion offline. It really comes down to the fact that the software is not being maintained in the same way.

Hervé C. agreed that this could be discussed internally.

10. Closed Session

-

11. Adjourn

Esteban L. moved to adjourn the meeting, Andrei R. seconded the motion. There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 13:19.