

**ASO AC Teleconference
Wednesday, 5 February 2024
12:00 PM UTC
Minutes**

Attendees	Observers	Apologies
<p>APNIC Di Ma (Di M.) Maemura Akinori (Akinori M.)</p> <p>ARIN Nick Nugent (Nick N.) – Vice Chair Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.) Amy Potter (Amy P.)</p> <p>LACNIC Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.) Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.) – Vice Chair Jorge Villa (Jorge V.)</p> <p>RIPE NCC Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) – Chair Constanze Buerger (Constanze B.) Andrei Robachevsky (Andrei R.)</p> <p>Secretariat Germán Valdez (Germán V.) Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.) – Minutes</p>	<p>AFRINIC Madhvi Gokool (Madhvi G.)</p> <p>APNIC Jeremy Harrison (Jeremy H)</p> <p>ARIN Eddie Diego (Eddie D.) Hollis Kara (Hollis K.) Chris Quesada (Chris Q.) Ashley Perks (Ashley P.) Michael Abejuela (Michael A.) Nancy Carter (Nancy C.)</p> <p>LACNIC Eduardo Jimenez (Eduardo J.)</p> <p>RIPE NCC Angela Dall’Ara (Angela D.) Athina Fragkouli (Athina F.) Ulka Athale (Ulka A.)</p> <p>Community Mirjam Kuehne (Mirjam K.)</p> <p>ICANN Board Christian Kaufmann (Christian K.)</p> <p>ICANN Org Ozan Sahin (Ozan S.) Andrew McConachie (Andrew M.) Carlos Reyes (Carlos R.)</p>	<p>APNIC Nicole Chan (Nicole C.)</p>

New and updated action items from this meeting:

Action Item 250205-1: GV to send out a Zoom invite and link for a joint meeting of both WGs (drafting and survey response analysis) for next Wednesday, 12 February at 12:00 UTC.

=====

Agenda

0. Welcome
1. Roll Call
2. Agenda Review
3. Approval Minutes January 2025
4. Review Open Actions
5. ICP-2 Update
6. ICANN Board Seat 10 Election Update
7. ASO AC Chairs participation at ICANN 82 (Seattle)
8. Update on ASO AC face- to-face Meeting at APNIC 59 (Malaysia)
9. Update on ASO AC meeting at ICANN 83 (Prague)
10. Form the Policy Proposal Facilitator Teams (PPFT)
11. AOB
12. Closed Session
13. Adjourn

=====

0. Welcome

Hervé C. welcomed everyone to the meeting at 12:01 UTC.

1. Roll Call

Roll call was taken and quorum was established.

2. Agenda Review

The draft agenda was displayed on screen and approved as written.

3. Approval Minutes January 2025

Esteban L. moved to approve the minutes of the January 2025 as written with the editorial edits submitted by Hervé C. via e-mail, Kevin B. seconded the motion, and the motion carried.

4. Review Open Actions

Action Item 240605-3: Hervé C. to prepare a new monthly report on the ICP-2 review and send it to the ASO AC for feedback. Hervé will then send the final version of this report to the EC prior to the next NRO EC meeting. **OPEN**

Hervé C. noted that this Action Item will be discussed later in today's meeting and will remain open as it refers to ongoing work.

5. ICP-2 Update

Hervé C. invited the two groups working on ICP-2 to provide an update.

Kevin B. shared that a draft document had gone to the ASO AC to get a sense of the work that is being done. The first WG has met nearly every Monday to go through the document and is now doing the analysis itself. The first thing to mention is that we have an internal deadline 12 February when the draft has to go to the NRO EC to get approval so that the document can be ready for APNIC, which is the ultimate goal. The report itself will be one component that can be helpful for the ASO AC and the drafting team. A second input will be the spreadsheet we are working on with a review of individual comments and themes will be available for discussion during our time at APNIC as additional feedback to the drafting team. This involves a lot more work than we imagined initially, so we're working towards a very definite deadline, not towards perfection but towards getting the analysis out to the community (the raw data will also be available to the community). Time is the major quotient for our work.

Kevin B. suggested another topic for discussion at APNIC: how do we do the next round of dialogue? How do we provide the information so the community can digest it better? FYI, the CCG is also looking at how the regions have done this in the past.

Akinori M. thanked the WG for their great effort, Nick N. echoed the kudos to Kevin B. and everyone else involved in that project.

Moving on to the second WG, drafting the new version of the ICP-2 document, Nick N. said that this WG had sent a full draft to the legals, who are in the process of reviewing it and will respond when they are ready.

Esteban L. added that the drafting WG is also waiting for the feedback from the WG analyzing the responses to the survey to determine what feedback to include in the draft. The process between both WGs will be combined.

Considering both updates provided, Andrei R. suggested that it might be useful for both WGs to meet to share what we are doing.

Esteban L. agreed with this idea. He added that the drafting team is also having weekly meetings with the legal team, so once we have their feedback both WGs can meet and share our progress.

Kevin B. proposed meeting next Wednesday, 12 February to do an internal walk-through the work of both WGs. One hour should be sufficient.

Andrei R. suggested noted that his working group would share preliminary materials beforehand (work in progress) and invited the drafting WG to do the same.

Action Item 250205-1: GV to send out a Zoom invite and link for a joint meeting of both WGs (drafting and survey response analysis) for next Wednesday, 12 February at 12:00 UTC.

Ulka A. then mentioned that the CCG has some questions about the progress of the ICP-2 update. The first one is about the FAQ page on the ASO AC website regarding ICP-2 she had discussed with the ASO AC in Istanbul. She asked whether someone from the ASO AC would like to work together with someone from the CCG to prepare a draft of FAQ so that it will be ready to publish before meeting in Malaysia.

Kevin B, Andrei R, Amy P, Constanze B, and Akinori M. volunteered to work with the CCG. Athina F. said she and Micheal A. would also be available to assist with this work if needed.

Next, Ulka A. suggested that the APNIC meeting might be a good opportunity to share a few video clips answering some questions about ICP-2, just a couple of minutes long (What is ICP-2? Why is this an important discussion for the community? What are we trying to achieve?). She then asked if any of the ASO AC members would be willing to prepare these clips to share at the APNIC meeting.

Nick N. said he would be happy to help with the video clips.

Ulka A. said she would send an email to Hervé C., which he can share with the AC so if there are any other volunteers for the video clips they can contact her.

Andrei R. observed that the community will have the expectation that an update on ICP-2 will be presented at APNIC, and said to Ulka A. that it would be very helpful if the communications team could also help the AC prepare the slide deck for the community update.

Ulka A.'s third and final comment from the CCG was that it would be great for the CCG to know what meetings/working sessions the AC would like the CCG to join at APNIC.

6. ICANN Board Seat 10 Election Update

Ricardo P. presented a brief report: the Interview Committee has met once to review the questions for the written questionnaire. This questionnaire was sent to the candidates on 31st January, and they now have until 10 February to send back their answers. The IC will discuss on the mailing list a meeting to prepare for the next phase before Malaysia.

7. ASO AC Chairs participation at ICANN 82 (Seattle)

Hervé C. shared the following summary: The ASO AC usually meets once a year during the first ICANN meeting, but this year we will be meeting in Malaysia. However, the first ICANN meeting is also important, as all the constituencies participate. This year, Hervé C. will attend ICANN 82 in Seattle, with the goal being that Esteban L. and Nick N. will accompany him to attend to interact with different people.

Esteban L. thanked the NRO EC for supporting the ASO AC chair and co-chairs to attend the ICANN meeting in Seattle.

Akinori M. observed that he will be present at ICANN82 covered by his employer.

Nick N. noted that one of the goals will be to meet in person with the NRO EC to discuss 'Parchment' v1. He is planning to attend but still needs to work out the logistics.

8. Update on ASO AC face- to-face Meeting at APNIC 59 (Malaysia)

Hervé C. shared that there will be a set of closed and open meetings, and that the final agenda will soon be worked out. The agenda of the public sessions will be published as soon as it has been defined and completed.

Eduardo J. observed that he won't be attending Malaysia, so if possible, he would like to participate remotely in the closed sessions.

9. Update on ASO AC meeting at ICANN 83 (Prague)

Hervé C. observed that the ASO AC will meet a second time this year because of all the work we are doing. It would be good for ASO AC members to arrive in Prague early to make sure they are available on the Monday of the ICANN meeting.

Kevin B. shared that while the actual ICANN meeting is 9-12 June, other constituencies have activities prior.

Ozan S. replied that ICANN 83 will be Policy Forum and a four-day meeting, unlike the March meetings (Community Forums), so there are no other additional meetings scheduled for Saturday or Sunday. He added that what could happen on Sunday afternoon (8 June) would be the SO/AC chairs meeting, a leadership session. He added that the ASO or ASO AC will be able to have joint meetings with other SOs and ACs during ICANN83 Policy Forum from Monday through Thursday. This is the shortest of three annual ICANN public meetings, so groups tend to have fewer joint meetings and give more time to their internal policy work. Therefore, we should engage with the groups as early as possible if ASO/ASO AC would like to have joint meetings at ICANN 83.

10. Form the Policy Proposal Facilitator Teams (PPFT)

Hervé C. recalled that during our last meeting one representative from each region had volunteered to be part of the PPFT. As per procedure, we can have five, with no more than two from a single region. Akinori M. then accepted serving as the fifth member.

11. AOB

Christian K. shared the following update:

- ICANN has a tradition of having a prep week online. This time, for the first time, we will have an open webinar which is ICP-2 related (Updating ICP-2). We will discuss where we stand, the result of the public consultation, then we will have an open mic. The idea is that it should foster some feedback and comments. Unfortunately, this will be held on 24 February, overlapping with the APNIC meeting in Malaysia.

Andrei R. agreed that it's a great opportunity to inform the ICANN constituency about the work on ICP-2 and then asked Christian K. what materials he would be using, as in his opinion it would be good to align our messaging if at all feasible as those communities overlap (input received via ICANN consultation or also input received via RIR consultation).

Christian K. replied that he is more than happy to incorporate combined feedback if we find a good way to do that. The idea is mainly to bring people to the mic to ask or comment. The talk won't last more than 30 minutes.

Nick N. then shared that he has been selected as the IANA RC chair for this year. He then explained that the IANA RC is responsible for reviewing the performance of the IANA functions operator, which is PTI, to ensure that it is meeting the SLA for allocating number resources to the RIRs in accordance with the SLA that was part of the 2016 IANA transition.

At this time, Hervé C. thanked the observers for attending the meeting and invited them to drop off the call as the ASO AC would be moving to the closed portion of the meeting.

12. Closed Session

-

13. Adjourn

There being no further business to discuss, Nick N. moved to adjourn, Amy P. seconded the motion, and the meeting was adjourned at 13:39 UTC.