

ASO AC Teleconference
Wednesday, 2 August June 2023
12:00 PM UTC
Minutes

Attendees	Observers	Apologies
<p>AFRINIC Saul Stein (Saul S.)</p> <p>APNIC Nicole Chan (Nicole C.) – Vice Chair Di Ma (Di M.) Gaurav Kansal (Gaurav K.)</p> <p>ARIN Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.) Nick Nugent (Nick N.)</p> <p>LACNIC Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.) – Vice Chair Jorge Villa (Jorge V.) Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.)</p> <p>RIPE NCC Sander Steffan (Sander S.)</p> <p>Secretariat Germán Valdez (Germán V.) Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.) – Minutes</p>	<p>AFRINIC Madhvi Gokool (Madhvi G.)</p> <p>APNIC Sunny Chendi (Sunny C.)</p> <p>ARIN Eddie Diego (Eddie D.) John Sweeting (John S.)</p> <p>RIPE NCC Angela Dall’Ara (Angela D.)</p> <p>ICANN Org Ozan Sahin (Ozan S.) Steve Sheng (Steve S.)</p>	<p>ARIN Chris Quesada (Chris Q.)</p> <p>RIPE NCC James Kennedy (James K.) Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) – Chair</p>

New and updated action items from this meeting:

New Action Item 230802-1: Hervé C, Ricardo P., and Nicole C. to discuss the best way to request input from the RIRs on ICP-2 and what changes the document might need from the RIRs’ point of view.

New Action Item 230802-2: All members of the ASO AC to read the ICP-2 individually and provide comments on the mailing list over the next two weeks. Then, as a group, schedule a teleconference in two weeks to do a reading of the ICP-2, review the document, and redline anything that might require changes.

New Action Item 230802-3: Germán V. to prepare a set of tentative dates for the upcoming ICANN Board nomination process to see when we need to have the ASO AC Procedures Update approved.

New Action Item 230802-4: Germán V. to provide Sunny C. with the text that should be published on the APNIC 56 website about the ASO AC sessions.

=====

Agenda

- 0. Welcome
- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Agenda Review
- 3. Review Open Actions
- 4. Approval Minutes
 - a) July 2023 Teleconference
- 5. ASO AC Procedures Review Update
- 6. ICP-2
- 7. ASO AC Second f2f Meeting Update
- 8. ASO Meeting with CANN Board in Hamburg
- 9. AOB
- 10. Closed Session (IF NEEDED)
- 11. Adjourn

=====

0. Welcome

Because Hervé C. had sent his apologies, Ricardo P. welcomed everyone to the meeting at 12:02 UTC.

1. Roll Call

Roll call was taken. With ten ASO AC members present on the call and all regions represented, quorum was established.

2. Agenda Review

Ricardo P. noted that he would be chairing the meeting today because Hervé C. had sent his apologies.

John S. said he would like to add a topic under AOB.

No topics were brought up for discussion in a closed session.

No further comments were heard, so the agenda was accepted as written.

3. Review Open Actions

Ricardo P. proceeded to go through the list of open actions.

Action Item 230607-1: Nicole C. to check the APNIC 56 program to see which meetings the APNIC representatives to the AC may need to attend and share that information with Germán V. The goal is to see when additional slots can be scheduled during APNIC 56 (other than Monday 11 September, which is already reserved for the AC). **CLOSED**

Nicole C. mentioned that the APNIC 56 program is available on the event website. There is no specific program we need to attend, but the entire program is very good.

Kevin B. said that our priority needs to be the ASO AC and what we are working on. He suggested making sure we have large slots reserved for the AC.

Ricard P., Esteban L., and Nicole C. agreed.

Ricardo P. added that we might have a situation where one of the ASO AC members might be needed for some other activity, but we need to work on our own business.

Sunny C. mentioned that APNIC has reserved a room for the ASO AC for two days (11-12 September).

Action Item 230607-4: All members of the ASO AC to read the ICP-2 and include the ICP-2 review as an agenda item for the ASO AC's upcoming meetings. **ONGOING**

Kevin B. said that one of the things that caught his eye is that terminology no longer necessarily reflects the Internet community as a whole (e.g., ISPs as the primary stakeholders for deciding whether a new RIR should be created, LIR, and a number of other terms that have not passed the test of time).

Nick N. asked whether Kevin B.'s concern about the term ISP is that he believes that today they are not the primary stakeholders or that he doesn't like the term ISP in general to refer to the Verizons and Comcasts of the world. Kevin B. replied that it's that ISPs are not the only stakeholder (it excludes content providers, cloud providers, etc.), so the term should be broadened.

Kevin B. added two additional comments: 1) it is also important to get feedback on ICP-2 from the point of view of the RIRs, as having that feedback on areas that may require modifications will be helpful towards our discussions; 2) what is missing from the ICP-2 today that wasn't foreseen 20+ years ago? For example, the certification of what an RIR is on an ongoing basis, the process to make sure that an RIR is in good standing, etc.

Nick N. said perhaps a member from each region could schedule a meeting with the people at their respective RIR to get input in advance of the next ASO AC meeting.

Kevin B. suggested an email from the ASO AC (via the Secretariat), as individual requests might be hard to track, adding that they should discuss what exactly the request should be.

Esteban L. agreed with Kevin B. and proposed defining if we need more time to review the ICP-2 to schedule a concrete session as Nick N. suggested where we can begin to work on reviewing the document and seeing what changes might be required.

Ricardo P. said that, as a group, we should read the ICP-2 and see what points need updating. He agreed with Kevin B. and added that he had done a review and saw that the document mentions topics from the old

MoU, which is outdated. He will talk to Hervé C. and Nicole C. to see how we can request input from the RIRs.

New Action Item 230802-1: Hervé C, Ricardo P., and Nicole C. to discuss the best way to request input from the RIRs on ICP-2 and what changes the document might need from the RIRs' point of view.

Kevin B. said that we cannot wait until the next regular ASO AC meeting. At his suggestion, the following action item was decided:

New Action Item 230802-2: All members of the ASO AC to read the ICP-2 individually and provide comments on the mailing list over the next two weeks. Then, as a group, schedule a teleconference in two weeks to do a reading of the ICP-2, review the document, and redline anything that might require changes.

Steve S. then mentioned that, when the ASO AC discussed the ICP-2 during their last call, the possibility of consulting with ICANN was also mentioned. If that is still the current thinking, ICANN would support it. For clarification, he then asked whether an update of the ICP-2 is considered a global policy development.

Ricardo P. replied that, at the beginning, ICP-2 states that it was a globally coordinated document, so it is not clearly a global policy. In addition, he believes that the ASO AC should indeed request comments from ICANN on this document, so he will discuss internally with Hervé C. how to formalize this request.

Steve S. thanked Ricardo P. and said that a formal request would be helpful and provide clear guidance and direction.

Kevin B. noted that ICANN is one of the stakeholders mentioned in the ICP-2, so ICANN's input is important. Also, ICP-2 is not global policy, but this doesn't mean that some steps do not mimic the global PDP. Ultimately, this document cannot be approved if the community and ICANN are not in agreement. We would not strictly follow the global PDP, but in September we can discuss what course of action we want to look at when it comes to the review and hopefully the acceptance of a revised ICP-2. What that would look like, not just from a timeline point of view, but from a comments/input and an approval point of view.

Jorge V. noted that in his opinion this is not a global policy and that it should be an executive document.

John S. agreed with Kevin B. that this is not a global policy but rather a task received from the NRO EC to review the document and provide input. He believes the ASO AC's deliverable is to the NRO EC.

Madhvi G. noted that the NRO website lists the ICP-2 as a global policy.

Ricardo P. said we need to check that, as on the ICANN website it is not mentioned as a global policy.

Updated Action Item 230313-1: Hervé C. to check with each RIR when a policy proposal is marked as global and whether it is vetted before it comes to the ASO AC. Also, to check what are the next steps once a policy is marked as global. Hervé C. to compile all the answers in a document and share it with the ASO AC.

ONGOING

Ricardo P. said they could check with Hervé C. via mailing list whether he has any update on Action Item 230313-1.

4. Approval Minutes

a) July 2023 Teleconference

Ricardo P. shared that Hervé C. had sent some comments to the mailing list.

Kevin B. moved to approve the July 2023 Teleconference Minutes as amended by Hervé C., Esteban L. seconded the motion, no oppositions or abstentions were heard, and the motion carried.

5. ASO AC Procedures Review Update

Ricardo P. updated everyone on the status of the ASO AC Procedures Update:

- During the chair and co-chairs preparatory meeting, Hervé C. asked for confirmation of whether a redline document has been provided for all the reviews.
- Ricardo P. checked and we are still missing the redline of the review of the voting system and references to the voting process in various sections of our procedures.
- The NRO EC has already received the reviewed documents and two redline docs as requested.

Germán V. added the following:

- The NRO EC has started to review the documents and confirmed that the NRO EC would prefer to have the redline versions.
- The EC is aware of the ASO AC's timeline and will send any comments before the ASO AC's next meeting.
- The EC will meet again in two weeks, so Germán V. will send them a reminder.
- It would be good to have the redline documents before 15 August.

Esteban L. said he would provide the missing section and send it to Hervé C., Ricardo P., and Nicole C. so they can send it to the NRO EC before their next meeting.

For clarification, Kevin B. asked whether any of the changes affect the ASO AC's timeline for the ICANN Board election.

All confirmed that it does not.

Ricardo P. said that the idea is to receive comments from the NRO EC and discuss them in September.

At Kevin B.'s suggestion, the following action item was agreed:

New Action Item 230802-3: Germán V. to prepare a set of tentative dates for the upcoming ICANN Board nomination process to see when the ASO AC Procedures Update needs to be approved.

6. ICP-2

Ricardo P. noted that much of this was discussed during the action item review. He said that the goal is to get to Kyoto with a good idea of how to move forward and reiterated that everyone should read the ICP-2 before the ASO AC meets again in two weeks.

7. ASO AC Second f2f Meeting Update

Ricardo P. reiterated that a room for the ASO AC has been reserved for two days and proposed discussing the agenda via the mailing list. We could use Monday to discuss the procedures review and Tuesday to discuss other topics (ICP-2 and any other that might be needed).

Kevin B. asked what we are going to do on 13-14 September (informal meetings, etc.).

Ricardo P. said that informal meetings could be an option. Even though we do not have a room reserved, we can still have some other meetings in other spaces that are free.

Kevin B. said that if there are no spaces available at APNIC, we may have to scope out a coffee shop or some other place to meet on the 13 and 14, as he understands that the ASO AC will be meeting for four days.

Sunny C. replied that it is great that the ASO AC will be attending APNIC 56, as APNIC will be celebrating its 30th anniversary. APNIC is being held at a convention center where room capacity is quite limited. We were only able to reserve a room for Monday and Tuesday. He apologized and added that there may be some other spaces at the center or at the hotel nearby that might be booked for the day the ASO AC wants to meet.

Esteban L. suggested asking Germán V. to find some other options in case the ASO AC needs to have additional meetings on Wednesday/Thursday. Germán V. said he would do some research to find some options once we are in Kyoto.

Sunny C. asked whether the ASO AC sessions should be published on the event website, and Germán V. replied that ASO AC sessions are meant to be open and should therefore be published.

Ricardo P. noted that the ASO AC may need to have some closed discussions (e.g., to prepare for the ICANN Board appointment). In that case, we can advise people in the room.

Kevin B. added that it's probably helpful for people to know that the ASO AC is having a workshop, that it is open, but that we will be working. It is important that the wording gives an accurate account of what the ASO AC will be doing.

New Action Item 230802-4: Germán V. to provide Sunny C. with the text that should be published on the APNIC 56 website about the ASO AC sessions.

8. ASO Meeting with ICANN Board in Hamburg

Ricardo P. explained that ICANN staff had reached out to Hervé C. to see whether the ASO needs to reserve a space to meet with the ICANN Board. The idea is to check whether the ASO AC sees any need for this and to check if we will be there and participate in this meeting.

Germán V. mentioned that, during their last conference, the NRO EC decided to meet f2f in Hamburg, so they will all be there. They have also been invited to provide a 5-minute update during the opening ceremony.

Ozan S. confirmed that ICANN 78 is taking place in Hamburg, that it is a six-day meeting, and that registration is now open. Regarding the potential ASO meeting with the ICANN Board, Ozan S. noted that the last time such a meeting had been held was in Cancun (ICANN 76), that the ASO and the ICANN Board had

had good dialogue, and that it had been suggested that this dialogue continue. The ICANN org is building the schedule, so they would like to know if the ASO AC is planning to meet with the ICANN Board.

Kevin B. replied that the primary driver for the meeting in Hamburg is the NRO EC. He said that the ASO AC will not be meeting in Hamburg. He added that, if the meeting is happening and if the ASO AC chair or one of the co-chairs is attending, they might attend that meeting.

Ricardo P. said that the idea was to see whether the ASO AC has any topics to discuss with the ICANN Board. He will check with Nicole C. and Hervé C. if they will be there.

Kevin B. said he believes that there aren't any topics that the ASO AC needs to discuss with the ICANN Board and asked if there is a cutoff date for suggestions.

Ozan S. replied that the hard deadline is the first week of September but, since this is the joint meeting with the ICANN Board, the meetings team would like to know as soon as possible.

Ricardo P. said that we can share this on the mailing list with Germán V. The two things that matter to ICANN are the Procedures Review and the ICP-2.

Regarding the major topics the ASO AC would like to discuss with the NRO EC and the ICANN Board, Nicole C. observed that the ASO AC has set up a deadline for discussion and it will depend on this.

9. Closed Session (IF NEEDED)

-

10. AOB

In addition to the topic that John S. will bring up, Ricardo P. asked if anyone else had additional topics they would like to discuss.

Ozan S. provided two quick updates from ICANN: 1) an announcement about the 2023 NomCom selections to some of the leadership positions (<https://www.icann.org/en/announcements/details/icanns-2023-nominating-committee-announces-leadership-selections-31-07-2023-en>); 2) an announcement about recognitions, a call for nominations for ICANN's Dr. Tarek Kamel Award (<https://www.icann.org/en/announcements/details/last-call-nominations-for-icanns-dr-tarek-kamel-award-for-capacity-building-01-08-2023-en>).

John S. then said that he had been on a call with a few of the RIR CEOs, that the subject of the call was the Africa Internet Summit (AIS) that will take place in Johannesburg at the end of September, and that the RIRs have half a day to present something. John S. will start putting together the agenda, and it has been suggested that perhaps the NRO EC might fund Saul S. to attend the AIS and present an ASO AC update along with possibly what the ASO AC is doing with ICP-2. The NRO EC will bless all the presentations. Paul Wilson, Oscar Robles, Germán V., and Micheal Abejuela will be there. John S. will be working with his Comms team and with Germán V. and, if Saul S. can be there on September 26-27, we would like to work with him.

Saul S. said that he will be happy to be there Wednesday and/or Thursday.

John S. will keep Saul S. informed (topics may include a typical ASO AC update, what the ASO AC is doing, possibly the NRO stats presentation that the CGs usually give, Oscar Robles will give a 45-min presentation on IPv6 for decision makers, something about routing security, etc.). John S. will keep in touch with Saul S. via email to coordinate this.

To conclude, Saul S. said that one of the items that the ASO AC should discuss in Kyoto should be planning for AIS.

11. Adjourn

There being no further business to discuss, Esteban L. moved to adjourn the meeting and Kevin B. seconded the motion. There being no votes against or abstentions, the meeting was adjourned at 13:14 UTC.