# ASO AC Teleconference Wednesday 06 July 2022 12:00 PM UTC Minutes

Attendees	Observers	Apologies
AFRINIC	ARIN	
Mike Silber (Mike S.) – Vice Chair	Sean Hopkins (Sean H.)	
Saul Stein (Saul S.)		
	ICANN Board	
APNIC	Alan Barrett (Alan B.)	
Nicole Chan (Nicole C.)	Maemura Akinori (Maemura A.)	
Shubham Saran (Shubham S.)		
Di Ma (Di M.)	ICANN Staff	
	Carlos Reyes (Carlos R.)	
ARIN		
Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.) – Chair		
Chris Quesada (Chris Q.)		
Martin Hannigan (Martin H.)		
146346		
LACNIC		
Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.)		
Jorge Villa (Jorge V.)		
Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.)		
RIPE NCC		
Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) – Vice		
Chair		
James Kennedy (James K.)		
Sander Steffan (Sander S.)		
Secretariat		
Germán Valdez (German V.)		
Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.) –		
Minutes		

## New action items from this meeting:

**New Action Item 220706-1:** The Secretariat to create a section on the wiki for all members of the AC to leave their comments during the ASO AC Procedures Review.

**New Action Item 220706-2:** The Secretariat to prepare a matrix of visa requirements that would apply to ASO AC members if traveling to three potential venues for a f2f ASO AC meeting (Singapore, Kuala Lumpur, Belgrade) and share it to the mailing list.

==								

Agenda

- 0. Welcome
- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Agenda Review
- 3. Review Open Actions
- 4. Approval Minutes June 2022
- 5. ASO Procedures Review Update
- 6. 2022 ASO f2f Meeting
- 7. RIR reports
- a) AFRINIC Meeting Report
- 8. AOB
- 9. Adjourn

#### 0. Welcome

Kevin B. welcomed participants at 12:04 UTC.

#### 1. Roll Call

Roll call was performed, and quorum was established.

### 2. Agenda Review

The agenda was displayed on screen and approved as circulated.

### 3. Review Open Actions

**Action Item 220511-1:** GV to confirm with AFRINIC when a person will be appointed to take the place of Wafa Z. (whose resignation will take effect on 15 May 2022) on the ASO AC. **OPEN** 

GV reported that he sent a reminder but had not yet received any information from AFRINIC.

**Action Item 220309-1:** Hervé C. to start preparing a timeline for the core team (Hervé C., Saul S., Mike S., Sander S., Esteban L., James K. and Ricardo P.) to work on the review of the ASO AC Procedures. **OPEN** 

Kevin B. noted that the ASO AC Procedures review would also be discussed under agenda item #5. He asked the core team working on the review if they have determined a timeline.

Herve C. explained that the core team had discussed the next steps. Briefly, the team has until 15 July to read the procedures in their entirety (including suggestions made in the past by Kevin B., Ricardo P. and Nurani Nimpuno), leaving comments on the wiki as necessary, after which the team will discuss via email the comments left on the wiki. The next meeting of the core team will be on 26 July, during which the team will decide the specific topics they will work on.

Kevin B. observed that the procedures are required for the next board election process (September next year). In relation to the timeline for the review, he mentioned that the ASO AC should give the NRO EC three months for questions, ratification, etc. once we give them the procedures, which means that the ASO AC should present to the NRO EC the approved procedures in July at the latest. He added that we don't want to

start too early, as in January there will be several new AC members who will be voting, and they will require time. He asked Hervé C. whether this action item should remain open to allow us to finalize dates.

Hervé C. replied that it would be best to leave the action item open and that the core team will have some output after their second meeting (26<sup>th</sup> July).

Esteban L. agreed with Hervé C., noting that it is important to keep the action item open and monitor it at every meeting.

#### 4. Approval Minutes June 2022

Hervé C. moved to accept the minutes of the ASO AC June meeting, Esteban L. seconded the motion, no objections were heard, and the motion carried

#### 5. ASO Procedures Review Update

Hervé C. mentioned that Laureana P. had prepared notes of the first procedures review meeting and offered to share these notes with the ASO AC. He explained that the idea was not to rewrite the procedures, but to have clear yet not excessively restrictive (i.e. flexible) procedures, to correct errors, references, and have a specific look at ICANN Board and NomCom elections.

He reiterated that members of the core team will have a look at the procedures considering the comments by Kevin B., Nurani Nimpuno and Ricardo P. and add their comments on the wiki before 15 July. Then, specific people will be assigned to work on each topic.

Maemura A. thanked the members of the core team for their work.

Given that at some point the core team working on the ASO AC Procedures review is going to ask for feedback from the entire AC, Kevin B. asked if there is a place in the wiki for comments, e.g., things that may be problematic, things that may have been detected over the course of the years, scenarios that are not covered in the procedures, etc.

Herve C. replied that this can be created very easily and asked the Secretariat to do so.

**New Action Item 220706-1:** The Secretariat to create a section on the wiki for all members of the AC to leave their comments during the ASO AC Procedures Review.

# 6. 2022 ASO f2f Meeting

Kevin B. noted that, during the prep call, one of the things that the chairs had discussed was possible locations for a f2f meeting: RIPE meeting in Serbia, ICANN meeting in Kuala Lumpur, APNIC meeting in Singapore, ARIN meeting in LA. He mentioned that the APNIC meeting might be too soon and that the visa requirements for travelling to LA might be difficult as the US was backed up in terms of visa application processing. He added that they should take into account which of the locations was the easiest for the majority of the AC to travel to and which had the least visa impediments.

He then suggested looking at the remaining three locations and proposing an ASO AC f2f meeting to the EC in the next couple of weeks. He asked German V. to prepare a matrix with the visa requirements for the

three locations this week and then share it to the ASO AC mailing list for feedback. The recommendation could then be sent to the EC mid to late next week.

**New Action Item 220706-2:** The Secretariat to prepare a matrix of visa requirements that would apply to ASO AC members if traveling to three potential venues for a f2f ASO AC meeting (Singapore, Kuala Lumpur, Belgrade) and share it to the mailing list.

Esteban L. agreed with Kevin B., adding that it is important for the ASO AC to have a meeting in the second semester. He said that he would do his best to travel to the place we decide is the best place for the meeting.

Saul S. echoed Esteban L.'s sentiment: he will also do his best to attend.

Maemura A. commented that having a f2f meeting is quite a reasonable choice. He observed that, during the pandemic, the ASO AC has not had a meeting with the ICANN Board and suggested they find the opportunity to do so in the near future.

Kevin B. replied that, when the ASO AC passes this to the NRO EC, one of the things we will look at will be whether to go to the ICANN meeting in Kuala Lumpur and meet with the ICANN Board, or whether to meet with the ICANN Board during the regularly scheduled ASO AC March 2023 meeting.

### 7. RIR reports

### a) AFRINIC Meeting Report

Saul S. said that he had not prepared a report, but that there was nothing earth shattering or out of the ordinary to share. He will send the link to the minutes as soon as they are available.

Mike S. joined the meeting at this time (12:22).

#### 8. AOB

Kevin B. observed that a suggestion that had come out of the members who met at ICANN 74 in The Hague was to use the video feature for ASO AC Zoom meetings. He will send an email update to the list but wanted to give everybody the heads up that he would like to try using video during their conferences at least for the last couple of meetings of the year.

All agreed.

### 8. Adjourn

There being no further business to discuss, Sander S moved to adjourn the meeting, Esteban L. seconded the motion, no opposition was heard, so the meeting was adjourned at 12:30 UTC.