

**ASO AC Teleconference
Wednesday 6 April 2022
12:00 PM UTC
Minutes**

Attendees	Observers	Apologies
<p>AFRIC Mike Silber (Mike S.) – Vice Chair Wafa Dahmani Zaafour (WD)</p> <p>APNIC Nicole Chan (Nicole C.) Shubham Saran (Shubham S.) Di Ma (Di M.)</p> <p>ARIN Kevin Blumberg (Kevin B.) – Chair Chris Quesada (Chris Q.)</p> <p>LACNIC Ricardo Patara (Ricardo P.) Jorge Villa (Jorge V.) Esteban Lescano (Esteban L.)</p> <p>RIPE NCC Hervé Clément (Hervé C.) – Vice Chair James Kennedy (James K.) Sander Steffan (Sander S.)</p> <p>Secretariat Germán Valdez (German V.) Laureana Pavón (Laureana P.) – Minutes</p>	<p>ARIN Sean Hopkins (Sean H.)</p> <p>ICANN Staff Carlos Reyes (Carlos R.)</p>	<p>AFRIC Saul Stein (Saul S.)</p> <p>ARIN Martin Hannigan (Martin H.)</p>

New action items from this meeting:

New Action Item 220406-1: The Secretariat to send out the ASO Call for Nominations to 2022 ICANN NomCom for its distribution and publish the announcement on 7 April.

=====

Agenda

- 0. Welcome
- 1. Agenda Review
- 2. Review Open Actions
- 3. Approval Minutes March 2022
- 4. ASO Appointment to ICANN NomCom

5. APNIC 53 report
6. AOB
7. ICANN Board Election Update (CLOSED SESSION)
8. Adjourn

Closed Session

- Deliberation Candidates Information Session
- Voting Phase review

=====

0. Welcome

Kevin B. welcomed participants at 12:05 UTC.

Roll call was performed, and quorum was established.

1. Agenda Review

Kevin B. mentioned that he was disappointed that many ASO AC members were not yet on the call.

While it was shown on screen, Kevin B. went over the draft agenda, which was approved as circulated.

2. Review Open Actions

Action Item 220309-1: Mike S. to start preparing a timeline for the core team (Hervé C., Saul S., Mike S., Sander S., and Ricardo P.) to work on the review of the ASO AC Procedures.

Kevin B. suggested discussing this during the AOB to give Mike S. time to join the meeting.

All agreed.

3. Approval Minutes March 2022

Kevin B. said he had seen some changes suggested on the mailing list. German V. confirmed that those changes (observations by Hervé C.) had been implemented.

Hervé C. moved to approve the minutes of the March 2022 ASO AC meeting, Esteban L. seconded the motion, no objections were heard so the motion carried.

4. ASO Appointment to ICANN NomCom

Wafa D. joined the meeting at 12.11 PM UTC.

German V. shared the following proposed timeline for the ASO Appointment to the ICANN NomCom, which had previously been shared to the mailing list:

- Announcement of call for nominations for 2022 ICANN NomCom: 7 April 2022
- Nomination period ends: 7 May 2022
- Evaluation of nominations by the ASO AC: 8 to 18 May 2022
- Voting period by the ASO AC: 19 to 26 May 2022
- Announcement of selected delegate: around 27 May 2022

Kevin B. noted that the ASO AC needs to approve the timeline on today's call if we want to keep on schedule to finish this work by the end of May. He added that ICANN has asked that all groups complete their appointments by end of May. While the ASO AC has not always met the date proposed by ICANN, this time it's very doable without a large impact on the board election process.

All agreed and no concerns were raised.

Kevin B. called a motion to approve the timeline shared above, Shubham S. seconded the motion, no objections or abstentions were heard, and the motion carried.

German V. observed that, in addition to the information provided by ICANN, the ASO had included four questions for the candidates in the 2021 NomCom call for nominations:

1. Have you read the time commitment for NomCom and are you willing to commit the time required to fulfil this role?
2. Have you been part of NomCom in the past representing any other constituency?
3. How many ICANN/RIR meetings you have attended in last five years? Which SOs/ACs do you actively follow?
4. Please briefly share why do you would like to join NomCom as the ASO-AC appointee.

He mentioned that it would be important for the AC to endorse these questions for this new 2022 nomination period or modify them as necessary.

After joining the meeting at 12:15 PM UTC, Mike S. agreed with the questions above and offered a recommendation: the ASO should ask "Have you served in the ICANN NomCom before, in what capacity, and have you served in other nomcoms before?"

Kevin B. agreed with a minor editorial change: "Have you been part of a Nomination Committee in the past with ICANN or with any other organization."

All agreed with this last version of the second question.

Sander S. joined at 12:19 PM UTC.

Kevin B. suggested and all agreed the following action item:

New Action Item 220406-1: The Secretariat to send out the ASO Call for Nominations to 2022 ICANN NomCom for its distribution and publish the announcement tomorrow, 7 April 2022.

5. APNIC 53 report

Nicole C. reported the following: APNIC 53 was held in the last week of February / first week of March. During the meeting, three positions on the EC were open for election and all three EC board members were

reelected. Each SIG gave some presentations and had good results. All the information is available on the APNIC website (<https://2022.apricot.net/report/>).

At Kevin B.'s request, Nicole C. confirmed that the next APNIC meeting is expected to be held in a hybrid format.

Shubham S. added that, all things considered, the total number of remote attendees and economies represented had been quite good.

Di M. then added that during the APNIC 53 Routing Security SIG there had been a discussion on whether we should have a global policy on RPKI. This was the first time this discussion came up within the Routing Security SIG, and we had some feedback on how to move forward with that.

Kevin B. inquired whether they were talking about a global policy, or about a policy globally coordinated between the RIRs.

Di M. replied that this issue had been shared at the APNIC meeting and that he had suggested that there are two ways to move forward with this: working on regional policies before taking them to global policy level or bringing this proposal to the ASO and having some discussion here.

Kevin B. concluded by saying that it's important for everyone to have a good understanding of the difference between a globally coordinated policy and a global policy. Because the latter would be within the purview of the ASO, it's worth tracking and understanding this. He asked everyone to keep an eye on this and update the ASO AC on any developments.

6. AOB

Action Item 220309-1: Mike S. to start preparing a timeline for the core team (Hervé C., Saul S., Mike S., Sander S., and Ricardo P.) to work on the review of the ASO AC Procedures.

Mike S. said he does not have any significant updates at the moment and that it would be best to postpone this until the next meeting.

All agreed.

Carlos R. asked whether the ASO AC had discussed ICANN 74, which will be a hybrid meeting in The Hague, and whether the ASO AC would be interested in having an ASO session there.

Kevin B. replied that the ASO AC would not be attending ICANN 74 as a body. He added that if the ASO AC decides to do something, it would be for ICANN 75. He added that there had been some talk about having an ASO Chair meetup with the ICANN Board, we might do that for the next meeting.

Sander S. noted that The Hague is very local to him, so he is planning to attend.

Mike S. said that there's a reasonable expectation that he will also be at The Hague.

Kevin B. asked whether there will be ICANN Board engagement at ICANN 74 or whether the idea was to have this engagement at ICANN 75.

Carlos R. replied that the ICANN Board does not have any sessions with ICANN Community groups during their Policy Forum meetings. He added that he is happy to plan ahead for sessions during ICANN75 in Kuala Lumpur in late September.

Kevin B. observed that it would be good to get the the question about ICANN 75 to the NRO EC, as this takes time and slots fill up quickly. If there are no updates from the EC, the ASO AC can independently update the ICANN Board informally.

German V. added that there is still no discussion at NRO EC level regarding ICANN 75, that the NRO EC is looking to have a friendly approximation with the CEO and the president of the board after not being able to meet after a couple of years to reengage, so everything is open right now.

Kevin B. then proceeded to confirm that there were no observers on the call and the ASO AC continued their discussion in closed session.

8. Adjourn

There being no further business to discuss, Hervé C. moved to adjourn the meeting, Esteban L. seconded the motion, no opposition was heard, and the meeting was adjourned at 12:58 UTC.