

ASO AC Teleconference

3 June 2020
12:00 PM UTC

Minutes

Attendees	Observers	Apologies
AFRINIC Noah Maina (NM) Mike Silber (MS) APNIC Brajesh Jain (BJ) Aftab Siddiqui (AS) – Chair Simon Sohel Baroi (SB) ARIN Kevin Blumberg (KB) – Vice Chair Martin Hannigan (MH) Louie Lee (LL) LACNIC Esteban Lescano (EL) Ricardo Patara (RP) Jorge Villa (JV) – Vice Chair RIPE NCC Hervé Clément (HC) Nurani Nimpuno (NN) Filiz Yilmaz (FY) Secretariat German Valdez (GV) – Minutes	ARIN Sean Hopkins (SH) ICANN Staff Carlos Reyes (CR) ICANN Board Ron da Silva (RdS) Akinori Maemura (AM) Community: 0	Wafa Dahmani Zaafouri (WD)

New action items from this meeting:

New Action Item 200603-01 KB to circulate in the AC-DISCUSS mailing list the time commitment information and questions for the candidates to be included in the call for nominations for the 2021 ASO delegate to ICANN NomCom.

Agenda

0. Welcome
1. Roll Call
2. Agenda Review
3. Review Open Actions
4. Approval Minutes of 20 May 2020
5. ICANN NomCom 2021 Delegation Selection Process
6. ICANN Board Selection Procedures Updates
8. AOB
9. Adjourn

0. Welcome

AS welcomed the attendees and started the meeting at 12:01 PM UTC.

1. Roll Call

GV performed the roll call and declared quorum.

2. Agenda Review

No new items were added to the agenda.

AS said that the ICANN Board Selection Procedure Updates would be discussed at the end, he asked all observers to leave the meeting at that point.

3. Review Open Actions

Action Item 200520-1 All ASO AC members to review what information should be asked to the ASO ICANN NomCom candidates. Topic to be discussed in the 3 June 2020 ASO AC teleconference and the results be included in the 2021 Nomination Call for ASO Representative to ICANN NomCom. **CLOSED**

Action Item 200520-2 AS to consult with ICANN NomCom the new time commitment for the ASO NomCom representative. Information to be published in the 2021 ICANN NomCom Call for Nomination announcement. **CLOSED**

Action Item 200520-3 AS to inform ICANN NomCom that the appointment of the ASO delegate to the 2021 ICANN NomCom would be around the 2nd week of July. **CLOSED**

AS reported that he contacted ICANN NomCom Operation Team asking for any changes in the time commitment, they replied that there were no changes, however AS said that the current ASO representative in the NomCom feels that the commitment has increased. AS added that the ICANN NomCom team is planning a face to face meeting in ICANN meeting in October. AS reminded that the ASO AC agreed to launch the call for nomination on June 4th, he asked for comments on the time commitment information he previously sent to the list.

BJ said that both inputs about time commitment were correct once you add the online and face to face meetings time the number of hours remain the same but staggered.

KB said that the announcement could refer to the current situation and the time commitment of virtual versus in person hours would be determined.

AS commented that the call for nominations should include the information that in case of a face to face NomCom meeting, ICANN would sponsor the trip.

AS suggested that based on the information received, the ASO AC should proceed with the announcement.

AS asked what kind of questions could be elaborated for the applicants.

HC said that a small ASO AC committee could be formed to prepare the questions, HC added that it was important to know about the candidate knowledge of ICANN structure and participation in ICANN community.

Considering his past experience in the ICANN NomCom, AS asked MS if other constituencies ask similar questions to the candidates about their experience, participation and knowledge of ICANN.

MS said that from the ccNSO perspective the critical aspect was if they were willing to put in the time.

KB said that the number one question should be about time commitment. KB added that the second question should be related to what other experiences they have in other groups with a team setting.

At this point AS suggested the below questions:

1. Have you read the time commitment for NomCom and willing to commit that time.
2. Have you been part of NomCom representing any other constituency.
3. How many ICANN meetings you have attended in last 5 years.

NM suggested that the third question would be ... "RIR or ICANN meetings" ...

NN stressed in the importance to have a lightweight selection process. NN reminded that the candidate doesn't represent the number community interest in the NomCom so experience in the number community was not particularly relevant, she agreed that most important aspect was the time commitment.

KB commented that the ASO AC should be observant of receiving nominations looking for an extra seat to other constituencies, KB said that the question about number community involvement would help in that case.

Regarding KB last comment, FY said that it has to do on how the ASO AC will make the evaluation and selection of the interested candidates, so it can be discussed later on.

AS reminded that the ASO procedures doesn't stop to raise further questions if those are need it.

HC asked if the ASO AC can have a specific meeting to discuss about the candidates before the voting.

AS confirmed that the ASO AC could have one.

MH agreed with the set the questions, he said that regarding with conflict of interest the ICANN Board and the NomCom have their own agendas, but they do a good work policing each other. MH added that main job of the NomCom is to find the best members possible for the Board and they don't necessarily represent the viewpoint of their constituencies.

AS suggested adding a new question about their motivation on serving as ASO representative in

the NomCom.

KB suggested to circulate the four questions and the time commitment information in the mailing list for final comments.

AS considered all three open actions related to the NomCom closed.

New Action Item 200603-01 KB to circulate in the AC-DISCUSS mailing list the time commitment information and questions for the candidates to be included in the call for nominations for the 2021 ASO delegate to ICANN NomCom.

4. Approval Minutes 20 May 2020

HC proposed the motion to accept the 20 May 2020 minutes. MS seconded the motion. There were no objections. Motion carried.

AS asked the Secretariat to publish the minutes on the ASO website.

5. ICANN NomCom 2021 Delegation Selection Process

Topic discussed during open action review.

6. AOB

AS asked GV about the status of the new ASO website.

GV reported that the developer and RIPE NCC technical staff are preparing the migration, he expects that the new website be online by the weekend or early next week.

BJ asked for any new ASO presentation for the coming ICANN meeting.

AS said, it was a policy focus meeting, so he considered it was not required.

7. ICANN Board Selection Procedures Updates

AS thanked all observers who joined the teleconference.

AS asked all non ASO AC members to leave the meeting.

All observers left the meeting at 12:39 PM UTC.

The ASO AC discussed the matter in closed session.

8. Adjourn

AS asked if there were any topic to discuss.

BJ moved to adjourn the meeting.

HC and MS seconded the motion.

After no objections, AS declared the motion carried.

The meeting ended at 13:05 UTC