ASO AC Meeting

5 September 2018 Minutes

Attendees	Observers	Apologies
AFRINIC	AFRINIC	APNIC
Fiona Asonga (FA)	Ernest Byaruhanga (EB)	Henri Kasyfi (HK)
Noah Maina (NM)	Alan Barrett (AB)	
Omo Oaiya (OO)		ARIN
	ARIN	Jason Schiller (JS)
APNIC	Richard Jimmerson (RJ)	
Brajesh Jain (BJ)	Sean Hopkins (SH)	
Aftab Siddiqui (AS) - Chair		
	ICANN	
ARIN	Carlos Reyes (CR)	
Kevin Blumberg (KB) – Vice Chair		
Louie Lee (LL)	ICANN Board	
	Ron da Silva (RdS)	
LACNIC		
Hartmut Glaser (HG)		
Ricardo Patara (RP) – Vice Chair		
Jorge Villa (JV)		
RIPE NCC		
Nurani Nimpuno (NN)		
Filiz Yilmaz (FY)		
Hervé Clément (HC)		
Secretariat		
German Valdez (GV) - Executive		
Secretary		
Susannah Gray (SG) – Scribe		

New Action Items from this Meeting

• New Action Item 20180905-01: AS to send a redline version of the current ASO AC operating procedures to clearly show the current and proposed text regarding quorum. AS to also send the proposed text for the ASO AC Chair and Vice Chair roles.

Agenda

- 0. Welcome
- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Agenda Review

3. Approval of Minutes

a) July 2018

- 4. Open Actions
- 5. ASO Quorum Procedures
- 6. ICANN 63 Planning
- 7. Any other Business
- 8. Adjourn

0. Welcome

AS welcomed the attendees.

1. Roll Call

GV performed the roll call and declared quorum.

2. Agenda Review

HC asked that, during AOB, the ASO AC discussed the email requesting the ASO to be part of the IANA Naming Function Review Committee.

AS noted that this would be discussed: he had already intended to include this during AOB.

3. Approval of Minutes

a) July 2018

AS noted that, as the August teleconference did not take place due to lack of quorum, there were no minutes from that meeting to approve.

HC proposed the motion to approve the minutes from the July 2018 ASO AC Teleconference. KB seconded the motion. There were no objections. AS asked the Secretariat to publish the minutes on the ASO AC website.

4. Open Actions

• Action Item 20180704-1 CR to look at timelines for Seat 10 Elections and to gather feedback or concerns from ICANN staff.

AS noted that CR had provided input on this and that the timeline had been circulated.

GV added that the process was due to start next week on 15 September 2018 and that he would send a reminder of the timelines to the mailing list.

CLOSED.

• Action Item 20180704-2: AS/GV to send text outlining the roles of the ASO AC Chair and Vice Chair to the NRO EC for its approval.

OPEN: See Agenda item 5.

• Action Item 20180704-3: JV to send the final CCWG-ACC WS2 Report to the ASO AC.

AS noted that JV had sent the report to the ASO AC via email.

FA noted that the CCWG's activities were now complete. A smaller group would be formed to oversee implementations once the CCWG-ACC WS2 Report was accepted.

AS thanked JV and FA for their efforts.

CLOSED.

• New Action Item 20180704-4: GV to request an update from the NRO EC regarding the CCWG WS2 Final Report.

GV noted that there was an action on the NRO EC Chair to send a mail to the ASO AC regarding the CCWG WS2 Final Report and to thank the participants for their work. He noted that he'd follow up on the status of this action item.

AS noted that it would be good to receive some final comments from the NRO EC.

OPEN.

• New Action Item 20180704-5: ALL to consider the proposed change to the procedures on reaching quorum, raise objections on the mailing list and prepare to finalize during the August call.

OPEN: see Agenda item 5.

• Action Item 20180313-6: AS to send a mail to clarify who will be present at ICANN 62 and ask for volunteers to work on comparing and aligning the GPDP processes as outlined in the MoU Attachment A and the ASO AC operating procedures (Recommendations #6 and #7).

AS noted that, although this action item refers to ICANN 62, it should be updated to refer to ICANN 63. He added that GV had already sent a mail and several people had said they would be attending ICANN 63.

HC volunteered to compare and align the GPDP processes outlined in the MoU Attachment A and in the ASO AC operating procedures.

CLOSED

5. ASO Quorum Procedures

AS asked the ASO AC for comments on the proposed text regarding the change to quorum procedures:

Eight members of the council shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business providing that there is at least one person present from each of the five (5) RIR Geographic Regions. If all three ASO AC representatives from a particular region are unable to attend an ASO AC meeting, they can specifically request that the meeting is rescheduled, at least 24hrs before the start of the meeting. Unless such a rescheduling request has been received, a quorum of 4 regions will be accepted for the ASO AC meeting to proceed.

OO noted that he had no objections.

KB asked whether the proposed text was simply an explanation of the changes that the ASO AC would like to make or whether it was the actual text that would be added to the ASO AC operating procedures.

AS noted that, if all agreed, it would be sent to the NRO EC as the text that the ASO AC proposed to be added to the ASO AC operating procedures. The NRO EC must approve any changes to the operating procedures so it was possible that they may request changes to the text.

BJ asked how many people must be present to achieve quorum currently and asked if a specific number should also be added to the proposed new text.

GV noted that currently, to achieve quorum, a minimum of eight people must be present and all five regions must be represented.

After discussion, the ASO AC decided that it would not be necessary to add the number of people necessary to achieve quorum as this was already stated in the same section of the operating procedures.

GV explained that in order to amend the ASO AC operating procedures, the proposed amendment must by supported by four-fifths of the ASO AC as per section 11 of the operation procedures:

These Operating Procedures may be amended at any regular or special meeting of the council or through an electronic vote. The proposed amendment must receive four-fifths majority support of all members of the Address Council whether at a council meeting or via an electronic vote. Electronic votes will be a minimum of 7 days, but can be concluded as soon as all members of the Address Council register a vote. All amendments to these Operating Procedures shall be approved by the Executive Council of the Number Resource Organization. Proposed amended text must be available for discussion on email for seven days prior to the start of a vote unless all Address Council members are available for a discussion of the amendment.

AS noted that it was important that these procedures were followed for the amendment of quorum and for the changes to the roles of the ASO AC Chair and Vice Chair (see Action Item 20180704-2). He continued that the ASO AC could send a note to the NRO EC explaining that it would like to amend the operating procedures and would shortly be sending proposed edits or it could wait until the text had been agreed upon and then send the final proposed text to the NRO EC.

NN noted that the ASO AC should vote on the proposed text as per the procedures and then send it to the NRO EC for its approval: there would be no point in contacting them unless the ASO AC is in agreement about the amendments.

KB noted that a redline document of the current operating procedures should be prepared to show the proposed changes so that the ASO AC could see exactly what it was agreeing to. He noted that he would not be comfortable with agreeing to a short piece of text that was not part of the full document.

AS agreed and noted that he would send the edited text and the ASO AC Chair and Vice Chair roles to the mailing list in preparation for a vote as per the operating procedures.

New Action Item 20180905-01: AS to send a redline version of the current ASO AC operating procedures to clearly show the current and proposed text regarding quorum. AS to also send the proposed text for the ASO AC Chair and Vice Chair roles.

6. ICANN 63 Planning

AS proposed that the ASO AC holds a working session during the ICANN 63 Meeting on Monday 22 October. There were no objections.

NN noted that the ASO AC working session needed to facilitate remote participation: not everyone had funding to attend the ICANN meeting and the ASO AC's official F2F meeting was already held earlier this year. She continued that the ICANN remote participation platform should not be used, as the ASO AC working session was a closed session.

GV noted that he and CR would ensure that remote participation via Webex was available.

CR noted that if the ASO had questions for the Board for the joint ASO/Board session at ICANN 63, they must be submitted by 1 October. He added that the ICANN Board had recently sent a set of questions to the SO/ACs in preparation and asked if the ASO AC had received the mail.

AS noted that he had not received the mail. CR said he would forward it again.

7. Any other Business

IANA Naming Function Review Committee

AS thanked HC for bringing up this point, and explained that he had received an email from ICANN regarding the IANA Naming Function Review Committee (IFR). The email noted that the ASO had been offered an optional liaison role to the committee. AS continued that he had forwarded the request to the NRO EC, who had responded that the IFR is related to Names and is therefore not relevant to the Numbers community so the ASO does not need to be involved.

HC noted that he understood the NRO EC's decision. He added that he had heard about the IFR Committee through his work with the ISPCP and thought that it would have been a good idea to circulate such information to the ASO AC. Even though it was the NRO EC's role to decide whether the ASO participated or not, it would have been beneficial for the ASO AC to be aware of the situation before a final decision was made.

NN explained that the IFR committee was something that came out of the IANA Stewardship Transition. To put it into context, part of Consolidated RIR IANA Stewardship Proposal Team's (CRISP) proposal was to create an IANA Numbering Services Review Committee, which was subsequently put into place. She continued that the purpose of the IANA Numbering Services Review Committee was to review the numbering services provided by IANA to the RIRs. She explained that the Numbers community therefore had its review mechanism covered by this. She noted that the Numbers community had specified to the Names community that it would not need to participate in any review of the services that IANA provides to it.

NN continued that she agreed with the NRO EC's decision and also agreed with HC's point: it would be good to circulate this kind of information so that when the ASO AC members participate in ICANN meetings, they could do so fully informed of all decisions.

AS agreed with HC and NN's points. He explained that the mail regarding this had been sent to his personal email rather than to the ASO AC Chair alias email. He continued that he had asked why it had not been sent to the alias and was told that the email contained information that was not for public consumption: ICANN did not know who could read mails sent to the aliases and so had sent the mail to the SO/AC Chairs' individual email addresses.

KB noted that he would like the ASO AC to have a broader discussion on this during the ASO AC working session at the ICANN 63 meeting. He continued that, as per the ASO Review recommendations, the ASO AC was supposed to be opening up its discussions to improve transparency: the ASO AC should discuss,

among other things, how information that was not meant to be public until a certain date should be circulated among the ASO AC, especially once the ASO AC mailing list becomes public.

AS agreed and noted that this was an ongoing discussion: the ASO AC was not used to sharing information publicly.

NomCom Appointment

AS congratulated BJ on his appointment to the 2019 ICANN NomCom.

BJ thanked the ASO AC for their confidence in his abilities and noted that he was looking forward to serving for another year as the ASO representative.

7. Adjourn

Motion to adjourn: NN. Seconded: BJ. Meeting adjourned at 12:03 UTC.